

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,962	03/08/2004	Charles Averty	851663.464	8622	
	7590 03/27/200 LECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5400			ALBERTALLI, BRIAN LOUIS		
SEATTLE, WA	A 98104-7092	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
10/795,962	AVERTY ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
BRIAN L. ALBERTALLI	2626					

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

eamed	patent t	enn ac	ajustment	. See 31	CLK.	1.7U4(D).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-30(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 Failure for reply within the set or calended period for reply with by statute on become ABANDONDE (38 U.S.C, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1-7046.	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4.6,7,9-13 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 8</u> is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Hiformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/US)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.

6) Other: _

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chan et al. (A Low-Complexity, High Quality, 64-Kbps Audio Codec With Efficient Bit Allocation).

In regard to claims 1 and 16-18, Chan et al. disclose a mask generation process, audio coder, computer readable storage medium, and mask generator for use in encoding audio data, including:

means for generating linear masking components from said audio data (tonal and non-tonal components are selected by approximating a logarithm by a Taylor expansion, page 37, equations 17 and 18);

means for generating logarithmic masking components from said linear masking components (the masking components are converted by into the logarithmic domain by performing an inverse of the function used above before the global masking threshold is generated, page 37 and 39, equations 19 and 20); and

means for generating a global masking threshold from the logarithmic masking components (the global masking threshold is generated by equation 5, page 27).

Art Unit: 2626

In regard to claim 2, Chan et al. disclose said step of generating linear masking components includes:

generating linear components in a frequency domain from said audio data (MDCT coefficients, page 37);

selecting a first subset of said linear components as linear tonal components (identification of tonal components, page 37); and

selecting a second subset of said linear components as linear non-tonal components (identification of non-tonal components, page 37).

In regard to claim 3, Chan et al. disclose generating sound pressure levels from said linear components using a second-order Taylor expansion of a logarithmic function (a two-term Taylor series, page 37).

In regard to claim 4, Chan et al. disclose generating a normalized value corresponding to an argument of said logarithmic function, and using said normalized value in said Taylor expansion (in equations 17 and 18, *alpha* represents the normalized value, page 37).

In regard to claim 6, Chan et al. disclose decimating said linear tonal components and said linear non-tonal components (components are dropped to obtain N/2 thresholds, page 26); and

Art Unit: 2626

generating masking thresholds from the decimated linear tonal components and the decimated linear non-tonal components (the selected components are used to generate masking thresholds, page 26).

In regard to claim 7, Chan et al. disclose generating a global masking threshold includes determining maximum components of said masking thresholds and predetermined threshold values (the global masking threshold function (5) determines maximal components, page 27).

In regard to claim 9, Chan et al. disclose logarithmic masking components are generated using a second-order Taylor expansion of a logarithmic function (page 37).

In regard to claim 11, Chan et al. disclose said linear masking components include linear energy components (approximations of dB, page 37), and said logarithmic masking components include logarithmic power components (power levels, page 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,962 Art Unit: 2626

 Claims 10, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al., in view of Absar et al. (U.S. Patent 7.003.449).

In regard to claim 10, Chan et al. disclose generating masking thresholds from said logarithmic components using a masking function of the form set forth in claim 10, except the range of dz is from 0 to 1 (as opposed to 0 to 8, as claimed, see page 26, equation 4).

Absar et al. disclose a masking function wherein only upward masking is used (column 6, line 51 to column 7, line 11). This is analogous to the range of dz being positive.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Chan et al. to use only the masking function set forth in claim 10 for dz ranging from 0 to 8, because this simplifies the masking calculations, as taught by Absar et al. (column 6, lines 51-52).

In regard to claims 13 and 15, Chan et al. disclose a mask generation process and mask generator for encoding audio data, including:

generating logarithmic masking components (the masking components are converted by into the logarithmic domain by performing an inverse of the function used above before the global masking threshold is generated, page 37 and 39, equations 19 and 20).

Furthermore, Chan et al. disclose generating masking thresholds from said logarithmic components using a masking function of the form set forth in claim 10,

Art Unit: 2626

except the range of dz is from 0 to 1 (as opposed to 0 to 8, as claimed, see page 26, equation 4).

Absar et al. disclose a masking function wherein only upward masking is used (column 6, line 51 to column 7, line 11). This is analogous to the range of dz being positive.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Chan et al. to use only the masking function set forth in claim 10 for dz ranging from 0 to 8, because this simplifies the masking calculations, as taught by Absar et al. (column 6, lines 51-52).

 Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al., in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Chan et al. disclose the mask generation process is related to MPEG-1 coding (abstract), but do not specifically disclose the process is an MPEG-1 layer 2 audio encoding process.

AAPA discloses an MPEG-1 layer 2 audio encoding process was known at the time of invention (page 1 of Applicant's specification).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have recognized that the processing reduction techniques disclosed by Chan et al. could have been applied to the MPEG-1 layer 2 audio encoding process with the predictable result of reducing the processing needed for audio encoding. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2626

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply the techniques of Chan et al. to an MPEG-1 layer 2 audio encoding process.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA, in view of Chan et al.

AAPA discloses a psychoacoustic masking process and an MPEG-1-L2 audio encoder, comprising:

means for generating energy values from Fourier transformed audio data (Fig. 2, step 208);

means for generating power values from said energy values (step 210);

means for generating masking thresholds on the basis of said masking components and said power values (step 222); and

means for generating signal to mask ratios for a quantizer on the basis of said sound pressure level values and said masking thresholds (step 226).

AAPA does not disclose a linear to logarithmic transform which would allow: means for determining sound pressure level values from said energy values; selecting tonal and non-tonal masking components on the basis of said energy values:

Chan et al. disclose a method of audio coding, including: means for determining sound pressure level values from energy values (SPL, page 26); and

Art Unit: 2626

selecting tonal and non-tonal masking components on the basis of said energy values (page 37);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify AAPA to determine SPL values from energy values and select tonal and non-tonal masking components on the basis of said energy values in the linear domain, because this would reduce the processing needed for audio coding.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

While Chan et al. disclose a process of conversion between the linear and logarithmic domains to reduce the computations needed for encoding audio, Chan et al. and the additional prior art of record do not disclose or suggest the specific functions recited in claims 5 and 8.

Claim 14 is allowed

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claim 14 is similar to claim 8 and written in independent form. Claim 14 is allowed for the same reasons given above for claim 8.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/795,962

Art Unit: 2626

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hu (U.S. Patent 6,385,572) and Subramaniam et al. disclose additional efficient mask generation processes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN L. ALBERTALLI whose telephone number is (571)272-7616. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, every second Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (571) 272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,962 Page 10

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BLA 3/24/08

/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626